Partha S. Bishnu Djamel Hamiroune

Mohamad Metghalchi e-mail: metghal@coe.neu.edu

Mechanical, Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering Department, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115

Development of Constrained Equilibrium Codes and Their Applications in Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics

Two constrained equilibrium codes, GNASA and GSTANJAN, have been developed which determine the composition of constrained equilibrium gas mixture. These codes use NASA and STANJAN equilibrium programs as the basis for generalized equilibrium routines. Gas mixture composition is determined by minimizing Gibbs free energy of the mixture subject to any specified constraints in addition to elemental constraints. Performances of these two codes have been compared to each other, and it has been found that GSTANJAN converges over a wider range of constraints, while the convergence of GNASA is limited. These codes have been applied in nonequilibrium evolution process of hydrogen-oxygen mixture. The nonequilibrium process has been modeled by using only two constraints in addition to elemental constraints. The results are in good agreement with detailed kinetic solution. [DOI: 10.1115/1.1385517]

Introduction

The development of models to describe the time evolution of complex reacting systems is one of the main objectives of nonequilibrium thermodynamics. The equations describing the behavior of such systems can be obtained from the laws of thermodynamics and laws of quantum mechanics, but solution of these equations is difficult due to large numbers of degrees of freedom. The alternative way to model these systems is by reducing the number of variables to be integrated or the number of chemical reactions describing them. In the past work, mostly two general approaches have been used in attempts to solve these problems. The first method involves truncation of species list used by Frenklach [1-3], Hautman et al. [4], and Peters [5]. The second method involves mathematical approximations which convert differential equations into algebraic equations, and it is discussed by Benson [6], Bowen et al. [7], Lam and Goussis [8,9], Rein [10], and Maas and Pope [11]. An alternative approach, proposed originally by Keck and Gillespie [12] and later developed by Metghalchi and Keck [13], is the rate-controlled constrained equilibrium (RCCE) method which is based on the maximum entropy principle of thermodynamics.

In computational singular perturbation method (CSPM) of Lam and Goussis [8,9], different reactions are grouped into separate linearly independent reaction groups which can be identified with individual time scales. The equations for the fast reactions are integrated and as soon as their contributions become insignificant, they are discarded. In this method, both fast and slow reactions are considered, but the difficulty for specifying the "complete" kinetic reaction model remains same. Maas and Pope [11] have also developed a general computational procedure for simplifying chemical kinetics, which is based on dynamical systems approach. In this approach, assuming that the fastest reactions are in local equilibrium, the state space for the chemical reaction system can be reduced globally and it can be described only by a smaller number of reaction progress variables. But in both of these meth-

Contributed by the Advanced Energy Systems Division for publication in the JOURNAL OF ENERGY RESOURCES TECHNOLOGY. Manuscript received by the AES Division, November 14, 1996; revised manuscript received March 15, 2001. Associate Editor: R. J. Krane.

ods, a complete set of reactions must be specified and the total number of equations (differential plus algebraic) remains the same.

Rate-controlled constrained equilibrium (RCCE) method is based on the assumption that slow reactions in a complex reacting system impose constraints on its composition which retard its relaxation to complete equilibrium, while the fast reactions equilibrate the system subject to the constraints imposed by the slow reactions. As a consequence, the system relaxes to complete equilibrium through a sequence of constrained-equilibrium states at a rate controlled by the slowly changing constraints. The constrained equilibrium state at any time is determined either by maximizing the entropy or minimizing the appropriate free energy of the system subject to the instantaneous values of the constraints. These constraints are chosen by the user and imposed upon the system in addition to the fixed atomic elemental constraints. Instead of a full set of rate equations for the species, only the rate equations for the constraints are needed to determine the state of the system, and since the number of constraints required is expected to be very much smaller than the number of species, the task of integrating these equations should be very much simplified. In addition, only those reactions which change the constraints are considered, and this further simplifies the calculations.

Rate equations for the additional variable constraints are integrated in the RCCE method over the time domain. A generalized equilibrium program, capable of accepting additional variable constraints in addition to the elemental fixed constraints, is needed to calculate the constrained compositions. The purpose of the paper is to present two such generalized constrained equilibrium routines. These two generalized equilibrium programs, GNASA and GSTANJAN, have been developed from NASA [14] and STANJAN [15] programs, respectively.

Equilibrium Calculations

Method of Equilibrium Constants. The chemical reactions can be written as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{Nsp} \nu_{jk}^{+} B_{j} \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{Nsp} \nu_{jk}^{-} B_{j} \qquad k = 1, \dots Nr$$
(1)

where B_j is the species symbol, Nr is the total number of reactions, and Nsp is the total number of species and ν_{ik}^+ and ν_{ik}^- are

Copyright © 2001 by ASME

Transactions of the ASME

the corresponding stoichiometric coefficients for forward and reverse directions of the kth reaction. At equilibrium, we can write

$$K_{ck}(T) = \prod_{j=1}^{NSP} [N_j]^{\nu_{jk}} \qquad k = 1, \dots Nr$$
(2)

where $K_{ck}(T)$ is the equilibrium constant for the reaction k, [Nj]=Nj/V is the species concentration, V is the volume, and $\nu_{jk}=\nu_{jk}^--\nu_{jk}^+$. Given a subset of Nsp ($Nsp \le Nr$) independent reactions, Eqs. (2) can be solved in conjunction with the equation for conservation of atoms to obtain the Nsp number of species equilibrium concentrations.

Method of Element Potentials. The element potential method is based on minimization of Gibbs free energy (or maximization of entropy) subject to conservation of elements (elemental constraints). It has the important advantage over the method of equilibrium constants that it does not depend on a reaction model. For a system of *Nsp* species, the dimensionless Gibbs free energy of mixture is given by

$$\tilde{\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{SP}} \tilde{\mu}_{j} N_{j} \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\mu} = \mu/RT \quad (3)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j}(T,p_{j}) = \widetilde{\mu}_{j}^{o}(T) + \ln p_{j} \qquad j = 1, \dots Nsp$$
(4)

is the dimensionless Gibbs free energy for species j and p_j is the partial pressure of jth species. The element constraints are linear combinations of the species

$$C_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{sp}} a_{ij} N_{j} \qquad i = 1, \dots Ne$$
(5)

where coefficient a_{ij} is the number of atoms of *i*th element in the *j*th species and *Ne* is the number of elements in the system. Minimization of the Gibbs free energy (3), subject to elemental fixed constraints (5), is done by the method of Lagrange multipliers, and this leads to the following result:

$$\ln p_j = -\tilde{\mu}_j^o - \sum_{i=1}^{Ne} a_{ij}\gamma_i \qquad j = 1, \dots Nsp$$
(6)

where γ_i is the dimensionless "element potential" (Lagrange multiplier) conjugate to the elemental constraint C_i . Substituting p_j from Eq. (6) into the ideal gas equation of state $p_j = N_j RT/p_o V$, number of moles of the *j*th species can be calculated as

$$N_j = \frac{p_o V}{RT} \exp(-\tilde{\mu}_j^o) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{Ne} a_{ij} \gamma_i\right) \qquad j = 1, \dots, Nsp \quad (7)$$

where p_o is the standard atmospheric pressure. For systems which include a large number of species, the number of constraints is much smaller than the number of species, and solving for the element potentials is easier than solving equilibrium equations for the species concentrations. NASA and STANJAN equilibrium programs, that can only accept elemental constraints, use this method to calculate equilibrium compositions.

NASA program is designed to calculate all possible species for given reactants at the given temperature and pressure. The effects of chemical kinetics are not included. Mole fractions and thermodynamic properties are calculated for equilibrium conditions. The objective function in this minimization thermodynamic problem is either Gibbs free energy or Helmholtz free energy, and it is minimized using the method of Lagrange multipliers subject to only elemental constraints. A system of iteration equations has been developed [14] by Taylor series expansion of the appropriate equations with all terms truncated that contain higher than first derivatives. Then, a descent Newton-Raphson method is used to solve a system of equations for the unknown correction variables. STANJAN is also a user-friendly and menu-driven program to calculate the equilibrium compositions of different species in one or more phases. The method of element potential is used to minimize the Gibbs free energy of the system. To do this minimization, a convergent algorithm has been developed by Reynolds [15] in the STANJAN program, which is based on a related max-min problem, called "dual" problem. This algorithm, like regular NASA, considers only the elemental constraints.

Constrained Equilibrium

The foregoing method may also be used to find the constrained equilibrium state of systems subject to any number of constraints, linear in the species concentrations, in addition to those imposed by conservation of the atomic elements. A system is always constrained by elemental constraints; but the term "constrained equilibrium" refers to those systems subject to additional constraints (Keck [16]). To determine the constrained equilibrium state, one simply replaces Eq. (5) by

$$C_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{SP}} a_{ij} N_{j} \qquad i = 1, \dots Ne, Ne + 1, \dots Nc$$
(8)

where Nc is the total number of constraints, the elemental and additional constraints. The constrained equilibrium composition is then found by maximizing the entropy or minimizing the Gibbs free energy subject to constraints given by Eqs. (8), as in the preceding section, and hence Eq. (7) becomes

$$N_j = \frac{p_o V}{RT} \exp(-\tilde{\mu}_j^o) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} a_{ij} \gamma_i\right) \quad j = 1, \dots N s p \qquad (9)$$

NASA and STANJAN equilibrium codes have been modified to accept any constraint in addition to elemental or atomic fixed constraints. The modified programs, called generalized NASA (GNASA) and generalized STANJAN (GSTANJAN), are capable of calculating the constrained equilibrium compositions of gas mixture subject to any additional variable constraint imposed on the system in addition to elemental constraints which are always needed for the conservation of atoms in the system in absence of any nuclear reaction. These codes in the form of callable subroutines can be used in RCCE method to calculate nonequilibrium composition of gas mixture.

Application of GNASA and GSTANJAN

The main objective of this work is the development and application of GNASA and GSTANJAN in RCCE calculation. Mixture of hydrogen and oxygen gases has been chosen to test the new generalized equilibrium routines because the kinetics in the gas mixture are well known and the full set of rate equations can be integrated easily to obtain exact or detailed solutions for comparison with RCCE calculations using GNASA and GSTANJAN. For RCCE calculation, stoichiometric mixture of hydrogen and oxygen with initial conditions of 1500 K temperature and 1 atm pressure in constant energy and constant volume system has been used in this study. The species included are H₂, O₂, H₂O, OH, H₂O₂, HO2, H, and O. Overall, four constraints have been used. Two elemental fixed constraints (elemental hydrogen EH and the elemental oxygen EO) and two additional variable constraints (total moles M and active valences AV) have been used. Total moles as a constraint (M) is due to slow dissociation and recombination reactions, and active valence constraint (AV) includes the most active radicals such as H, O, and OH. These constraints can be defined as follows for the present system:

 $EH=2H_{2}+2H_{2}O+2H_{2}O_{2}+HO_{2}+H+OH=4 \text{ (in the present case)}$ $EO=2O_{2}+2H_{2}O_{2}+2HO_{2}+O+OH+H_{2}O=2 \text{ (in the present case)}$ $M=H_{2}+O_{2}+H_{2}O+H_{2}O_{2}+HO_{2}+H+O+OH$ AV=2O+H+OH

Journal of Energy Resources Technology

SEPTEMBER 2001, Vol. 123 / 215

Table 1	<i>a_{ij}</i> matrix for hydrogen-oxygen system							
Species (Ni)								

	Species (NJ)								
	H_2	O_2	H ₂ O	H_2O_2	HO ₂	H	0	OH	
Constraints (C _i)									
EH	2	0	2	2	1	1	0	1	
EO	0	2	1	2	2	0	1	1	
М	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
AV	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	

Allowed Ranges of Constraints. For the present system, the constraint coefficient matrix (a_{ij} matrix), which is used in Eq. (8), is given in Table 1. As discussed before, NASA and STANJAN take into account only the first two rows of the a_{ii} matrix which form elemental constraints, whereas GNASA and GSTANJAN consider all the rows of the a_{ij} matrix. Elemental constraints are fixed in nature to ensure the conservation of atoms, whereas additional constraints are not fixed and their values can range between a minimum and maximum which can be determined uniquely for any system. For additional constraints, which are also functions of time during nonequilibrium calculation, the following nonequalities are true for any system: EH+EO>0; $0 \le M \le A$; AV \geq 0, where A = total number of atoms for the system. For the present system, the following ranges for additional variable constraints can be deduced from the a_{ii} matrix using the foregoing inequalities:

> $AV \ge 2M - (EM + EO)$ (lower bound) $AV \ge 4M - 3EH - 2EO$ (lower bound) $AV \le 2M - EH$ (upper bound)

For the present H_2 - O_2 system with given initial condition (EH = 4 and EO=2), *M* can vary from 2 to 6 and AV can vary from 0 to 8. The additional constraints *M* and AV are variable and time dependent in case of nonequilibrium evolution of the system. Using the foregoing inequalities, the allowed domain for the present system (in terms of *M* and AV) is shown in Fig. 1, which gives the two-dimensional representation of the *M*-AV domain.

Both GNASA and GSTANJAN have been used to find the constrained equilibrium compositions of H_2 - O_2 system for two cases. In one case, as the static demonstration for the programs, they have been used to calculate constrained compositions for stoichiometric mixture of H_2 - O_2 system at temperature of 1500 K and pressure of 1 atm. under different combinations of the additional variable constraints. In another case, the nonequilibrium evolution of a constant volume and constant energy H_2 - O_2 system, starting from stoichiometric compositions at 1500 K and 1 atm, was studied by RCCE method using GNASA and GSTANJAN. The vari-



Fig. 1 Domain of possible states of $H_2\mbox{-}O_2$ system (EH=4 and EO=2)

216 / Vol. 123, SEPTEMBER 2001

able constraints, considered in both cases, were M and AV, which were used in addition to fixed atomic constraints (EH and EO) to determine constrained compositions with the help of GNASA and GSTANJAN. The trapezoid ABCD represents the possible domain on M-AV plane. The points outside the trapezoid are on the forbidden zone, which means it is impossible to have the mixture on those zones.

Static Calculation

The purpose of the static calculation was to find the effectiveness of the two programs GNASA and GSTANJAN in extreme cases of combinations of additional constraints which might arise in the nonequilibrium problems studied by RCCE at various instants of time. Static calculations were carried out for stoichiometric mixtures of H₂-O₂ at constant temperature of 1500 K and pressure of 1 atm by GNASA and GSTANJAN. Table 2 gives the results from GNASA and GSTANJAN for different combinations of M and AV within the ranges of permissible values derived earlier and shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen from Table 2 that GSTANJAN is more stable and accurate than GNASA in extreme cases of combinations for M and AV. For example, in the case of M=5 and AV=4 (point C), GNASA failed to converge, whereas GSTANJAN converged precisely. In another instance, when M = 3 and AV= 0, GNASA failed to converge on point B, and it was resolved only when the point was well within the domain range of 1.e-6. All the computations were done on Sun workstations (Sparc-10). It can also be seen from Table 2 that the GSTANJAN was typically faster than GNASA by three to four times in terms of CPU time required for the computations.

Nonequilibrium Calculation (Dynamic Calculation)

Detailed Kinetic. The rate equation for an individual species j in Eq. (1) is given by

$$\dot{N}_{j} = V \sum_{k=1}^{Nr} \nu_{jk} r_{k} \qquad j = 1, \dots Nsp$$
 (10)

where *Nr* is the number of reactions, $r_k = r_k^+ - r_k^-$ is the net rate of reaction *k* per unit volume, and r_k^+ and r_k^- are the forward and reverse reaction rates given by

$$r_{k}^{\pm} = k_{k}^{\pm}(T) \prod_{j}^{N_{sp}} [N_{j}]^{\nu_{jk}^{\pm}} \qquad k = 1, \dots, Nr$$
(11)

where $k_k^+(T)$ and $k_k^-(T)$ are the rate constants for the forward and reverse reaction k, and $[N_i] = N_i / V$ is the species concentration. At equilibrium, the species composition must be independent of time, and r_k must vanish. This gives the detailed balancing condition $K_{ck} = k_k^+ / k_k^-$ where $K_{ck}(T)$ is the equilibrium constant for the reaction k given by Eq. (2). Given a subset of Nsp (Nsp $\leq Nr$) independent reactions, Eqs. (10) can be solved in conjunction with the equation for conservation of atoms to obtain the Nsp species concentrations. In the case where the complete reaction mechanism of a chemically reacting system is known, the most accurate method of describing the evolution of the system is the integration of full set of rate equations. In the case where the energy and volume are specified, an additional equation for the temperature is needed. This can be obtained by differentiating the expression for the energy $E = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{sp}} N_j E_j(T)$ with respect to time, which gives

$$\dot{T} = \left(\dot{E} - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{sp}} \dot{N}_j E_j \right) / \sum_{j=1}^{N_{sp}} N_j C_{vj}$$
(12)

where $C_{vj} = dE_j/dT$ is the specific heat at constant volume for *j*th species. In the detailed kinetic calculation for constant energy and volume system, (Nsp + 1) number of first-order differential equations, given by Eqs. (10) and (11), are integrated to find the time evolution of the chemical system.

Transactions of the ASME

Downloaded 21 Jan 2012 to 18.7.29.240. Redistribution subject to ASME license or copyright; see http://www.asme.org/terms/Terms_Use.cfm

Table 2	Species mole fractions	for hydrogen-oxygen	system (EH=4 ar	nd EO=2); <i>T</i> =1500 K	and P=1 atm

Figure 1	м	AV	O ₂	H₂	H₂O	H ₂ O ₂	0	Н	ОН	HO₂	Method
A	2	0	4.80E-14	6.24E-07	1.00E+00	6.24E-07	0	0	0	1.73E-12	GSTANJAN
		0	3.70E-08	6.64E-07	1.00E+00	6.00E-07	9.30E-27	4.07E-19	1.80E-14	1.50E-09	GNASA
В	3	0	1/3	2/3	3.33E-07	6.50E-26	2.06E-21	3.33E-07	4.71E-15	1.47E-15	GSTANJAN
		1.00E-06	1/3	2/3	2.66E-07	4.24E-26	1.65E-21	3.33E-07	3.91E-15	1.19E-15	GNASA
С	5	4	1/5	0	0	0	1.33E-13	4/5	0	0	GSTANJAN
		4	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	GNASA
D	6	8	0	0	0	0	3.33E-01	6.67E-01	0	0	GSTANJAN
	6 - 1.E-12	8 - 1.E-12	0	0	0	0	3.33E-01	6.67E-01	0	0	GNASA
Equilibrium Method		8.98E-05	1.98E-04	1.00E+00	1.92E-09	3.80E-08	2.46E-07	3.65E-05	2.18E-09	GSTANJAN	
		8.99E-05	1.98E-04	1.00E+00	2.01E-09	3.82E-08	2.46E-07	3.65E-05	4.27E-09	GNASA	

Rate-Controlled Constrained Equilibrium (RCCE). The rate-controlled constrained equilibrium (RCCE) method [16], as discussed before, is a very good alternative to modeling of reaction kinetic. In this method, chemical systems evolve through a sequence of constrained-equilibrium states determined by the slow rate-limiting reactions. In chemical systems, additional constraints can occur as a result of slow reactions having time scales long compared to those of interest, and in this context it may be helpful to remember that conservation of atomic elements is a result of extremely slow nuclear reactions having time scale compared to the age of the earth. The constrained equilibrium compositions can be determined by integrating the rate equations for the constraints in stepwise fashion, and then the species-constrained compositions can be calculated by using a constrained equilibrium program such as GNASA and GSTANJAN.

The constraints imposed on the reacting system are given by Eq. (8). Differentiating these equations with respect to time yields

$$\dot{C}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{SP}} a_{ij} \dot{N}_j$$
 $i = 1, \dots, Ne, Ne + 1, \dots, Nc$ (13)

Substituting species rate Eq. (10) for \dot{N}_i in Eq. (13), we get

$$\dot{C}_i = V \sum_{j=1}^{Nrc} b_{ik} r_k$$
 $i = 1, \dots Ne, Ne + 1, \dots Nc$ (14)

where $b_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{sp}} a_{ij} \nu_{jk}$ and Nrc = no. of reactions for which $b_{ik} \neq 0$.

Note that b_{ik} gives the change in C_i due to reaction k. Given energy, volume, and the initial conditions, the Nc+1 rate equations can be integrated to calculate temperature and values of constraints at each time step. Then, concentrations of species are determined by using GNASA or GSTANJAN.

In order to check performance of GNASA and GSTANJAN in nonequilibrium calculation, premixed hydrogen-oxygen mixture in an adiabatic constant volume chamber has been used. A full set of rate equations with 19 reactions and eight species were integrated to obtain exact solution for comparisons with RCCE calculation. The 19 reactions used in the calculation are listed in Table 3, along with their standard reaction enthalpies ΔH_{300}^0 and the parameters A, n, and E_a for calculating the exothermic rate constants using the form $k^+ = AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT)$. The coefficients b_{ik} appearing in Eq. (14) are given in the last two columns of Table 3. When $b_{ik}=0$, the *k*th reaction does not change the *i*th constraint. In Table 3, there are five reactions that do not change any constraint, and these reactions are in equilibrium. In the RCCE calculations, the number of rate equations to be integrated is reduced from nine to three, and the number of reaction rates

Table 3 Hydrogen-oxygen reaction mechanism and rate data in cm³, s, and kcal units

	React	ions		ΔH ⁰ ₃₀₀	log ₁₀ A	n	Ea	ΔΜ	ΔAV
1.	$H + O_2 + M$	→	HO ₂ +M	-47.10	18.32	-1	0	-1	-1
2.	OH + OH + M	\rightarrow	$H_2O_2 + M$	-51.40	12.50	0	-1.1	-1	-2
3.	O + H + M	\rightarrow	OH + M	-102.23	15.90	0	0	-1	-2
4.	H + H + M	\rightarrow	H ₂ + M	-104.20	16.08	0	0	-1	-2
5.	O + O + M	\rightarrow	O2 + M	-119.12	17.11	~1	0	-1	-4
6.	H + OH + M	\rightarrow	$H_2O + M$	-119.33	9.92	1	-6.95	-1	-2
7.	H2O2 + H	→	HO ₂ + H ₂	-14.60	12.20	0	-3.80	0	-1
8.	$O + H_2O_2$		OH + HO ₂	-14.70	12.10	0	-8.00	0	-1
9.	O + OH	\rightarrow	$H + O_2$	-16.90	13.17	0	-0.68	0	-2
10.	H ₂ O ₂ + OH	\rightarrow	$HO_2 + H_2O$	-29.70	13.00	0	-1.80	0	-1
11.	H + HO ₂	->	H ₂ +O ₂	-38.20	14.40	0	-1.90	0	-1
12.	HO ₂ + O	\rightarrow	O ₂ + OH	-55.10	13.70	0	~1.00	0	-1
13.	$H + HO_2$	\rightarrow	OH + OH	-57.10	13.40	0	0.70	0	-1
14.	OH + HO ₂	\rightarrow	$H_2O + O_2$	-72.20	13.70	0	-1.00	0	-1
15.	$H + H_2O_2$	\rightarrow	OH + H ₂ O	-68.10	13.00	0	-3.60	0	0
16.	H + OH	\rightarrow	H2+O	-1.970	23.88	-3	0	0	0
17.	OH + H ₂	\rightarrow	$H + H_2O$	-15.13	13.34	0	-5.15	0	0
18.	OH + OH	\rightarrow	$H_2O + O$	-17.10	11.67	0	6.07	0	0
19.	HO ₂ + HO ₂	\rightarrow	$H_2O_2 + O_2$	-42.53	12.30	0	0	0	0

Journal of Energy Resources Technology

SEPTEMBER 2001, Vol. 123 / 217



Fig. 2 Temperature as function of time for H₂-O₂ system

required is reduced from 19 to 14. For more complex systems, such as C/H/O system, the reduction in both number of rate equations and the number of reactions can be of orders of magnitude larger. It is anticipated that this will reduce the computational time substantially.

Figure 2 shows a plot of temperature as a function of time for a stoichiometric mixture of hydrogen-oxygen with initial conditions of 1500 K and 1 atm. The solid curve represents the detailed or exact calculation, the dashed curve represents RCCE calculation using GNASA, and the dotted curve represents RCCE calculation using GSTANJAN. It can be seen that RCCE calculation using two generalized equilibrium codes are almost the same, and they are very close to detailed calculation while using only two constraints. For the present constant energy and constant volume H/O system, CPU time for the detailed calculation on Sun workstation (SPARC-10) was 3 s. CPU time for GSTANJAN was roughly 3 min, and for GNASA, it was three to four times larger than that of GTANJAN. It should be noted that there were some difficulties using GNASA to start the computation. The first step in the dy-

namic calculation involves the determination of the timedependent constraints at initial time in order to start the integration loop, and it is at this stage that all variable constraints other than M are identically zero. However, GNASA fails to start from the given initial conditions which involve zero values for additional constraints except M. What happens in such case of failure of GNASA is that the iteration equations sometimes give very large corrections which result in very large increases in mole fractions of those species that are actually present in very small quantities, and eventually these large calculations lead to divergence. Hence, a small number, instead of zero, had be to used for active valence constraint at initial time when GNASA was used in the calculations. On the other hand, there was no problem using GSTANJAN with zero initial condition for active valence.

Also, it should be noted that the comparatively larger CPU times for GSTANJAN and GNASA compared to the CPU time for detailed calculation in the present case of very simple H/O system do not truly reflect the underlining potential of RCCE, which is



Fig. 3 Major species concentration profiles H₂, O₂, and H₂O

218 / Vol. 123, SEPTEMBER 2001

Transactions of the ASME

Downloaded 21 Jan 2012 to 18.7.29.240. Redistribution subject to ASME license or copyright; see http://www.asme.org/terms/Terms_Use.cfm



Fig. 4 Concentration profiles for active radicals: O, OH, and H

expected to be much faster than the detailed calculation in the case where the number of species and the other complexities of the system exponentially increase with more complex reactants like heavier hydrocarbons.

librium values as the exact method does. Figure 4 shows concentration of active radicals O, OH, and H. These predictions are different at very early times, but, nonetheless, they reach the correct equilibrium concentration. Concentration of peroxides, HO_2 and H_2O_2 , are shown in Fig. 5. Difference of results obtained by GNASA and GSTANJAN during early times are due to the starting problem with GNASA, as mentioned earlier. Overall, results

Figure 3 shows the major species concentration as a function of time. Note that these species are well predicted by the constrained method and the constrained method predicts the same final equi-



Fig. 5 Species concentration profiles for HO_2 and H_2O_2

Journal of Energy Resources Technology

SEPTEMBER 2001, Vol. 123 / 219

Downloaded 21 Jan 2012 to 18.7.29.240. Redistribution subject to ASME license or copyright; see http://www.asme.org/terms/Terms_Use.cfm

of RCCE calculations using GNASA and GSTANJAN with only two additional constraints are very close to detailed calculations. The performance of RCCE can be improved if additional constraints are used to model the evolution process.

Conclusion

The generalized constrained equilibrium routines required for constrained equilibrium and also rate-controlled constrained equilibrium calculations, have been developed. They have been used in this paper successfully for calculation of temperature and composition time history for a stoichiometric mixture of hydrogen and oxygen. GSTANJAN performed better than GNASA for both static and dynamic calculations in terms of stability of the code and computation speed, though the results from both routines were in relatively good agreement with the detailed calculation.

Nomenclature

- AV = active valences
- C_{vj} = specific heat at constant volume for *j*th species
- \vec{EH} = elemental hydrogen
- EO = elemental oxygen
- $K_{ck}(T)$ = equilibrium constant for reaction k
- $k_k^+(T)$ = rate constants for forward reaction k
- $k_k^-(T)$ = rate constants for reverse reaction k
- $K_{ck}(T)$ = equilibrium constant for reaction k
 - M = total moles
 - Nr = total no. of reactions
 - Nsp = total no. of species
 - N_i = no. of moles of *j*th species
 - N_c = total no. of constraints
 - $N_r =$ no. of reactions
 - [Nj] = species concentration
 - r_k^+ = forward reaction rate
 - r_k^- = reverse reaction rate
 - ν_{jk}^+ = stoichiometric coefficients for forward directions of *k*th reaction
 - ν_{jk}^- = stoichiometric coefficients for reverse directions of *k*th reaction

- V = volume
- $\tilde{\mu}$ = dimensionless Gibbs free energy of mixture
- γ_i = dimensionless element potential

References

- Frenklach, M., 1984, Combustion Chemistry, ed., W. C. Gardiner, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, Chap. 7.
- [2] Frenklach, M., 1987, "Complex Chemical Reaction Systems: Mathematical Modeling and Simulation," eds. J. Warnatz, and E. Jager, Springer Ser. Chem. Phys. 47, Berlin, Germany, pp. 2–16.
- [3] Frenklach, M., 1991, Numerical Approaches to Combustion Modeling, eds., E. S. Oran, and J. P. Boris, AIAA, Chap. 5.
- [4] Hautman, D., Dryer, F., Schug, K., and Glassman, I., 1981, "A Multiple Step Overall Kinetic Mechanism for the Oxidation of Hydrocarbons," Combust. Sci. Technol., 25, pp. 219–235.
- [5] Peters, N., 1988, "Systematic Reduction of Flame Kinetics Principles and Details," Prog. Aeraut. Astronaut. Series: Dynamic of Reacting Systems, Part 1, *Flames*, Vol. 113, AIAA, Washington, DC, pp. 67–86.
- [6] Benson, S. W., 1952, "The Induction Period in Chain Reactions," J. Chem. Phys., 20, p. 1605.
- [7] Bowen, J. R., Acrivos, A., and Oppenheim, A. K., 1963, "Singular Perturbation Refinement to Quasi-Steady State Approximation in Chemical Kinetics," Chem. Eng. Sci., 18, p. 177.
- [8] Lam, S. H., and Goussis, D. A., 1988, "Understanding Complex Chemical Kinetics with Computational Singular Perturbation," *Twenty-Second Symposium (International) on Combustion*, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, p. 931.
- [9] Goussis, D. A., and Lam, S. H., 1992, "A Study of Homogenous Methanol Oxidation Kinetics Using CSP," *Twenty-Fourth Symposium (International) on Combustion*, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA.
- [10] Rein, M., 1992, "The Partial-Equilibrium Approximation in Reacting Flows," Phys. Fluids A, 4, No. 5, Gordon, Sanford.
- [11] Maas, U., and Pope, S. B., 1992, "Implementation of Simplified Chemical Kinetics Based on Intrinsic Low-Dimensional Manifold," *Twenty-Fourth Symposium (International) on Combustion*, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 103–112.
- [12] Keck, J. C., and Gillespie, D., 1971, Combust. Flame, 17, p. 237.
- [13] Law, R., Metghalchi, M., and Keck, J. C., 1988, Twenty-Second Symposium (International) on Combustion, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA.
- [14] Gordon, and McBride, B. J., 1994, "Computer Program for Calculation of Complex Chemical Equilibrium Compositions and Applications: Analysis," NASA reference Publication 1311.
- [15] Reynolds, W. C., 1986, *The Element Potential Method For Chemical Equilibrium Analysis: Implementation in the Interactive Program STANJAN*, Mechanical Engineering Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
 [16] Keck, J. C., 1990, "Rate Controlled Constrained-Equilibrium Theory of
- [16] Keck, J. C., 1990, "Rate Controlled Constrained-Equilibrium Theory of Chemical Reactions in Complex Systems," Prog. Energy Combust. Sci., 16, 125–154.